## PRIMER NOTE Sixty polymorphic microsatellite markers for the oldfield mouse developed in *Peromyscus polionotus* and *Peromyscus maniculatus*

LYNNE M. MULLEN,\* RACHEL J. HIRSCHMANN,\* KELLY L. PRINCE,†‡ TRAVIS C. GLENN,‡§ MICHAEL J. DEWEY†‡ and HOPI E. HOEKSTRA\*

\*Division of Biological Sciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA, †Peromyscus Genetic Stock Center, ‡Department of Biological Sciences, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA, §Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, University of Georgia, Aiken, SC 29802, USA

## Abstract

We isolated and characterized 60 novel microsatellite markers from the closely related old-field mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus*) and deer mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus*) for studies of conservation, ecological, quantitative and population genetics. We assessed all 60 markers in a wild population of *Peromyscus polionotus rhoadsi* (N = 20) from central Florida and found an average of nine alleles per marker and an observed heterozygosity ( $H_0$ ) of 0.66 (range = 0.00–1.00). These polymorphic markers contribute to the growing number of genomic resources for *Peromyscus*, an emerging model system for ecological and evolutionary research.

*Keywords*: deer mouse, microsatellites, oldfield mouse, *Peromyscus*, Rodentia, simple sequence repeats

Received 5 May 2005; revision accepted 11 July 2005

Members of the genus *Peromyscus* are the most abundant small mammals in North America. Because of their wide distribution, *Peromyscus* exhibits extensive morphological, physiological and behavioural variations both within and among populations and species (King 1961). As a result, natural populations of *Peromyscus* have been intensively studied and considered to be a model organism for studies of ecology and behaviour (Musser & Carleton 1993; Dewey & Dawson 2001). Despite the wealth of ecological information from *Peromyscus*, the limited number of genetic markers has restricted the utility of genomic approaches for understanding the genetic basis of ecologically relevant phenotypes (Schlotterer 2002; Feder & Mitchell-Olds 2003).

One species in particular, the oldfield mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus*), presents a unique opportunity in which to investigate genetic and morphological evolution. *Peromyscus polionotus* is found throughout the southeastern US primarily in oldfields, characterized by open, sandy habitat. *Peromyscus polionotus* has also colonized the primary dunes

Correspondence: Hopi Hoekstra, Fax: 858-534-7108; E-mail: hoekstra@ucsd.edu

and barrier islands on the Gulf coast of Florida and Alabama as well as coastal dunes in northeastern Florida. In these regions, there are several *P. polionotus* subspecies (termed 'beach mice') originally described based on their cryptic dorsal pelage (Sumner 1926; Bowen 1968). Six of the seven beach mouse subspecies are classified as endangered or threatened (US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1985; Oli *et al.* 2001). Thus, studies of population structure and genomic variability may identify targets for conservation efforts as well as lead to deeper insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying adaptive morphological variation in the wild.

Microsatellites were cloned from an enriched partial genomic library following the method of Schable *et al.* (2002), which was adapted from Hamilton *et al.* (1999). DNA from a single *Peromyscus maniculatus bairdii* (BW) and a single *Peromyscus polionotus subgriseus* (PO) adult was extracted from tail snips using a QIAGEN DNeasy Kit. Genomic DNA was digested with *Hae*III restriction enzyme, and 300–700 bp fragments were ligated to SNX linkers using T4 ligase. Linked genomic fragments were enriched for (AC)<sub>13</sub>(AG)<sub>12</sub>(AAC)<sub>8</sub>(AAT)<sub>12</sub>(AGAT)<sub>8</sub> and (AAAT)<sub>8</sub> repeats

by hybridization to biotinylated microsatellite oligonucleotides and then captured on streptavidin-coated magnetic beads. Fragments were recovered by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with linker-specific primers and ligated into pBluescript II KS+ (Stratagene). These products were transformed into XL10 Gold *Escherichia coli* and colonies screened for inserts using M13 primers. In all, 288 clones were sequenced, and 60 polymorphic microsatellite markers were identified. PCR products were sequenced using BigDye version 3.0 (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI 377-XL sequencer. Sequences from both strands were edited in SEQUENCHER 3.1.1 (GeneCodes). Primers for PCR were developed using OLIGO 6.60 (Molecular Biology Insights).

PCR primers were designed using two different approaches; primers sets for microsatellites designed in the two species, PO or BW, require different reaction conditions. All PCRs were performed in a 15-µL volume using an Eppendorf Mastercycler gradient thermal cycler. For PO reactions, we used 30 ng of template DNA, 10× Taq buffer with 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (Eppendorf), 0.3 µL of 10 µм dNTPs, 0.6 µm each of a fluorescently labelled forward primer, unlabelled reverse primer and 0.15 U Taq DNA polymerase (Eppendorf). PCRs with BW primers were prepared as above with the following exception: one of the microsatellite primers was synthesized with a known CAG (5'-CAGTCGGGCGTCATCA-3') or M13R sequence (5'-GGAAACAGCTATGACCAT-3') attached to the 5' end. This sequence tag allows for the binding of a fluorescently labelled probe to the PCR product (HEX-labelled M13R probe and 6-FAM-labelled CAG probe) for detection on the ABI 3100 sequencer (Boutin-Ganache et al. 2001). The PCR master mixes used in this system included 0.06 µм of the sequence-tagged primer, 0.6 µm of the untagged primer and 0.54 µm of the fluorescently labelled probe.

Two types of cycling parameters for PCR were used: classical (one annealing temperature) and touchdown (successively lower annealing temperatures). The classical PCR parameters were as follows: 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, 10 s annealing and 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. Touchdown PCR parameters were: 94 °C for 90 s, followed by 21 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min. The initial annealing temperature was decreased by 0.5 degrees for each of 20 cycles. An additional 15 cycles were performed as follows: 94 °C for 30 s, followed by 30 s at the last temperature and 72 °C for 1 min. The final extension occurred at 72 °C for 5 min. Primer-specific annealing temperatures are given in Table 1.

For the population study, 20 individuals of *P. p. rhoadsi* were captured in Lake Louisa State Park in central Florida. Genomic DNA was isolated from tail snips using a QIA-GEN DNeasy Kit. All microsatellites were scored on an ABI 3100 sequencer using a ROX 400HD ladder. Results

were analysed using the GENEMAPPER version 3.5 software (Applied Biosystems). Table 1 summarizes the genetic variability for the 60 microsatellite loci. We calculated observed  $(H_{\rm O})$  and expected  $(H_{\rm E})$  heterozygosities using MSA (Microsatellite Analyser) version 1 (Dieringer & Schlotterer 2002). Polymorphism was observed in 57 of the 60 loci for the Lake Louisa population, but the additional three microsatellites were polymorphic in other populations of *P. polionotus*. The number of alleles observed across polymorphic loci ranged from two to 18 (average = 9 per locus); observed heterozygosity ranged from 0.00 to 1.00 (average = 0.66) and expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.15 to 0.97 (average = 0.76). Tests of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and linkage disequilibrium (LD) were calculated using GENEPOP version 3.4 (Raymond & Rousset 1995). After Bonferroni correction for multiple tests, eight loci were found to depart significantly from Hardy–Weinberg expectations (adjusted *P* value = 0.00072; Table 1) and showed heterozygote deficiency, suggesting the presence of null alleles at these loci or that other factors such as sampling error or natural selection are responsible for this pattern. Two of the pairwise tests for LD (Bw3-29 vs. Po2-40 and Po3-59 vs. Po3-72) were significant after sequential Bonferroni correction (Rice 1989). Overall, the level of microsatellite variation observed here is greater than that reported for captive populations of P. polionotus, but similar to wild-caught populations of P. maniculatus (Table 2). Together, these microsatellite markers exhibit a wide array of genetic variability, and therefore subsets of these markers may be useful for studies ranging from paternity analyses to phylogeography.

Importantly, microsatellites developed in one species of *Peromyscus* can often be amplified and are polymorphic in other species of *Peromyscus* (Prince *et al.* 2002; Chirhart *et al.* 2005). Previously, 46 microsatellites have been characterized for use in different species of *Peromyscus* (Table 2); combined with the markers reported here, over 100 microsatellites are now available for use in *Peromyscus*.

The microsatellite loci characterized here are a promising set of genetic markers for detailed intraspecific studies, phylogeographical analyses and genetic mapping in *Peromyscus*. Specifically, these markers can be used to generate data that may aid conservation management decisions as well as to understand the genetic basis of morphological variation in the endangered beach mice. In addition, these microsatellites contribute to a growing number of variable markers for genomic investigations in *Peromyscus*, an emerging model for studies in ecological and evolutionary genetics.

## Acknowledgements

A. Suazo from Florida's Department of Environmental Protection generously provided tail samples. This work was funded in part

Name	GenBank no.	Repeat motif	Sequence 5'–3'	$T_{a}$ (°C)	Size range	Α	H <sub>O</sub>	$H_{\rm E}$
Bw-TBX	DQ103268	(AC) <sub>23</sub>	F: (M13R)catgtgtgtgtgcatgaatatatgc R: catgtgtgtgcatgaatatatgc	58	380-412	15	0.80	0.93
Bw2-1	AF526098	(TG) <sub>23</sub>	F: GCCTATGGAAACCTTTAGT R: (CAG)GACAAGATTTTTAAACAGGA	TD55	126-160	9	0.82	0.81
Bw2-25	AF526102	(TG) <sub>22</sub>	F: CTGTTGGGGAAACTTGAA R: (M13R)ATTTACCGCCATCCT	TD55	223-251	9	0.65	0.82
Bw2-65	AF526108	(CT) <sub>21</sub> (GT) <sub>30</sub>	F: (M13R)GCCTCTGCGTCTTC R: CAGCGTGGTATGTATTGAC	TD55	183–243	19	0.90	0.96
Bw2-76	AF526111	(AG) <sub>26</sub>	F: (CAG)GGGCTGAAATCAATAA R: ATTCATTGGCTGTTGTTA	TD55	197–201	3	0.55	0.42
Bw2-110	AF526099	(CA) <sub>21</sub>	F: (M13R)CCCAAAATCAAATCAAATC R: GGGCTGTTGATCTATGTG	TD55	108-128	7	0.70	0.83
Bw2-SREL	AF526118	(GA) <sub>6</sub>	F: (M13R)CTATCTCTGCCTCTTACCAT R: TCGTGGATAATTACTTGGAC	TD55	153	1*		
Bw3-12	AF526119	(TATG) <sub>6</sub>	F: (CAG)ACCATTCATTCACATCT R: TCATTCCAGACAAGAGTT	TD55	128-140	4	0.63	0.59
Bw3-15	AF526120	(CTAT) <sub>17</sub>	F: (CAG)TCTCCAGGTGGTCAAT R: TGGCAGTAGATCAATAAC	48	287-307	5	0.67	0.69
Bw3-29	AF526123	(TGTC) <sub>10</sub> (TC) <sub>8</sub>	F: (M13R)CCTTTATGCTTCAGACT R: CCCACAAACATAATAGAC	TD55	90-106	3	0.55	0.63
Bw3-46	AF526125	(AACA) <sub>6</sub>	F: (CAG)TTCTCCTTCTTGGGCTAAA R: ggccctagaaccttactgt	TD55	287-307	6	0.83	0.76
Bw4-1	AF526127	(CTTT) <sub>13</sub>	F: (CAG)TGGTTAAGGATTTCTCGT R: TGCTGAAGATGAGAATAGG	58	143–169	9	0.90	0.79
Bw4-5	AF526155	(CAAA) <sub>6</sub>	F: (CAG)GCAAGAGAAACAAGTGGAAT R: CCCAAGCAACTGATACATGA	TD55	147–151	2	0.32	0.27
Bw4-7	AF526159	(ATAC) <sub>6</sub> (CA) <sub>21</sub>	F: (CAG)CACAGACAAGCAGACATAC R: GGCTCATCTTAACTCTT	53	345-395	10	0.60	0.76
Bw4-8	AF526162	(ATGT) <sub>12</sub>	F: (CAG)TCTAGCTGCGTTCC R: CCACTCACAAACGCATAC	58	247-273	12	0.74	0.88
Bw4-13	AF526132	(AGAT) <sub>18</sub>	F: (M13R)GACAGACAGACGGACG R: ACTGTGTCATGGCATTAT	TD55	158-226	9	0.47†	0.84
Bw4-18	AF526139	(CTAT) <sub>32</sub> (CTGT) <sub>8</sub>	F: (CAG)TCCTCCTTTTTGTATTC R: GTGGGTGACTGAGTAAGATA	50	382	1*		
Bw4-28	AF526144	(TCTA) <sub>15</sub>	F: TAATCCAGGTGTATCTAATCT R: (M13R)CCCAGTATTGCTAGTCT	TD65	291-399	22	0.89	0.97
Bw4-40	AF526153	(AGAT) <sub>7</sub>	F: (CAG)CCAAGAGTCTCGTTAAA R: CACCACTAATTATGTCTTC	TD55	266-282	5	0.74	0.75
Bw4-45	AF526154	$(CTTT)_{19}(CT)_{19}(CCTT)_4$	F: (M13R)ATGGCCTGCCTACCTCA R: AGGGGAAGTGAAAAGCTACA	TD70	257–387	16	0.67†	0.94
Bw4-54	AF526156	$(TATG)_4(TGTA)_{10}(CA)_9$	R: (M13R)GAGGCCCTAGTTGATTC	TD65	179–213	9	0.56	0.79
Bw4-63	AF526158	(TC) <sub>6</sub>	F: (CAG)CAGCAAGGCGTAACAGT	TD65	134–136	2	0.65	0.50
Bw4-74	AF526160	(CAGA) <sub>5</sub>	R: AGAAATAAGGCAAGGACTCA F: GACAAATATCAGCCAATCAAG	TD65	358-362	2	0	0.10
Bw4-84	AF526163	(AACC) <sub>6</sub> (AATC) <sub>10</sub>	R: (M13R)GTCCTAAGAGTTTGCCTAAG F: AGCTTTAAAACTCAACC	48	137–157	4	0.20	0.41
Bw4-93	AF526165	(CCTT) <sub>10</sub> (CTTT) <sub>23</sub>	R: (CAG)CCAGCAAGTTGTCCT F: (CAG)GACATTTAAAAAGGACTG	53	282-378	16	0.53†	0.93
Bw4-110	AF526128	(AAAG) <sub>16</sub> (AG) <sub>4</sub>	R: CCCTCTTGATTCCACAC F: (M13R)GAGGCCAGAGGAATTG	TD55	198-234	7	0.60	0.72
Bw4-112	AF526129	(AGAT) <sub>13</sub>	R: AAGTCAGATCCCCATTAC F: (CAG)GGCAGTGCATTCATGGTAA	58	251-279	10	0.70	0.87
Bw4-129	AF526131	(AAGG) <sub>11</sub>	R: TGAGTCCCCAGTTGTATGTA F: (M13R)AAAAACAAAAGACGCATCAC	TD60	236-304	9	0.25†	0.88
Bw4-137	AF526134	(ATAG) <sub>9</sub> (GATA) <sub>16</sub>	R: GGGCTGCATCACTGACTA F: (CAG)GGCTTGGTGGATTAATG	TD65	170-222	15	0.89	0.93
Bw4-143	AF526135	(CGTG)4	R: ATGCCAGAGCTGTTATAC F: (CAG)GGCAGATCATAGGTTAAAC	TD60	153–157	2	0.30	0.26
Bw4-151	AF526136	(AGG) <sub>5</sub>	R: AGACTAGCCAAGGTTACATA F: GAGGGAGATAAATATAGGA R: (CAC)CUTTCCACCCAAGATTCC	TD55	196–214	4	0.50	0.48
Bw4-178	AF526138	(ATAG)13	R: (CAG)CTTGGAGGGAACATTG F: CCGTTTTTCTTACTCA	TD55	282-344	12	0.18†	0.86

**Table 1** Primer sequences, GenBank Accession no., repeat motif, annealing temperature ( $T_a$ ) and genetic variability measures for 60 microsatellite loci from *Peromyscus maniculatus bairdii* (BW) and *Peromyscus polionotus subgriseus* (PO)

	Tabl	le 1	Contini	ieá
--	------	------	---------	-----

Name	GenBank no.	Repeat motif	Sequence 5'–3'	$T_{a}$ (°C)	Size range	Α	$H_{O}$	$H_{\rm E}$
Bw4-186	AF526140	(TTTA) <sub>4</sub> (TTCA) <sub>6</sub>	F: GCCCAGAGTGTGTCATGTAG	52	226-266	9	0.80	0.76
3w4-188	AF526141	(GTTT) <sub>4</sub>	R: (CAG)TTCCAACTCAGCAGGTAGA F: CCCGACAGGTAAGGTTTT B: (CAC)TATGTGTGTGGGGACGTTTCA	TD55	286-294	2	0.53	0.51
3w4-192	AF526142	(ATGA) <sub>13</sub>	R: (CAG)TATGTGTGGGCAGGTTGAA F: TACTTACTGGCAGTCAACA R: (CAG)CAATACCTCCATGCAATACT	53	170	1*		
3w4-200	AF526143	(ATCT) <sub>5</sub> (GTCT) <sub>6</sub>	F: (CAG)GCACATTCTCCCTTCTAAGC R: GACCACCTGATGAGCATAGAT	TD60	423-463	11	0.84	0.88
3w4-234	DQ103269	$(TGAA)_6$ TAAC $(AAAT)_4$	F: (CAG)ATTCCAACTCAGCAGGTAGA R: GCCCAGAGTGTGTGTCATGTAG	TD55	226-268	10	0.80	0.84
3w4-245	AF526145	(AGAT) <sub>16</sub>	F: (CAG)TGTTGTTGTTGTATTGGGTATT R: TTTGCTGGTTTTACTATTGT	TD55	288-316	8	0.55	0.78
3w4-249	AF526147	$(AAGG)_8(AG)_{12}(AAAG)_{27}$	F: (M13R)CAGGACAGGGCAACTA R: TGAGATGTGCTATATGGCTTA	TD55	216-304	20	0.55	0.79
3w4-251	AF526148	(CA) <sub>20</sub> (TA) <sub>6</sub>	F: GGGAGAGAAAAGACTATA R: (CAG)TCTTGGGAATAATCTA	TD55	248-252	3	0.65	0.66
3w4-260	AF526149	(AGAT) <sub>18</sub>	F: (CAG)CAAAGAGGGGGGAACACC R: GCCAGGGGGGTATCAAGTTCA	50	226-254	7	0.75	0.78
3w4-276	AF526150	(TTCC) <sub>18</sub>	F: AGAGCCAACACAATAGTAT R: (M13R)AAGGAAAAGATTAGTAAGGT	TD55	200-280	9	0.11†	0.89
3w4-SREL	AF526166	(TGGA) <sub>13</sub>	F: $(CAG)$ CCAACAAGAGTCTATATTC R: TATCCATTGGTTCATCTATC	TD55	182–206	7	0.70	0.84
3wC-28	AF526168	(AC) <sub>15</sub>	F: (CAG)GGCACCTGTAACTCTAGCTC R: GGCAGTGTGATGGTTAAGA	50	214-232	6	0.89	0.83
Po-17	AF380234	(TTTG) <sub>9</sub>	F: (6-FAM)TCTGTAAACCCAAACTCATAA R: GGGGGAGAGAACTGAC	62	196–216	5	0.74	0.78
Po-25	AF380236	(GA) <sub>24</sub>	F: (HEX)GGACAGCCAGGACTGTTACAC R: CCCACTCATCTCAATGCC	58	116-170	15	0.58†	0.93
Po-31	DQ103263	(GA) <sub>26</sub>	F: (HEX)TTTCAGTGGCTCTCATGGTTA R: AGCTTTCTTCTTCCCAACTA	58	267–291	10	0.75	0.86
°o-43	DQ103264	(TG) <sub>24</sub>	F: (6-FAM)ggttgggggtagtgagt R: cttcctcctgatgggatccac	TD55	122–136	8	0.95	0.86
Po-71	AF380240	(AC) <sub>10</sub> (AG) <sub>32</sub>	F: (HEX)CAGCCAGAACAAAATAGCACT R: AGCTTCATGCCTCCTATATTC	58	228-270	16	0.90	0.95
°o-98	DQ103265	(AG) <sub>42</sub>	F: (6-FAM)ACACTGCCTCAAAGAACTCAC R: GCACTTTGGGACCTTATG	TD60	237-277	14	0.69	0.93
Po-99	AF380241	(AG) <sub>21</sub>	F: (HEX)CGAAATGGAGATGGACGAA R: CTTTCAAACTCAGCGACTCAA	TD60	215-239	12	1.0	0.89
Po-116A	DQ103267	(CT) <sub>30</sub> (TG) <sub>15</sub>	F: (HEX)GATAGCCAGGACTGTTACAC R: CCACAGCCATGTACAGC	58	130-182	14	1.0	0.92
Po2-5	AF380243	(GA) <sub>16</sub>	F: ( <b>6-FAM</b> )gaagtaaggaaaagggggaaa R: ctcccagccaggggatccaat	TD55	242-282	12	0.68	0.87
°o2-23	AF380244	$(AGAT)_{16}(AGAC)_6$	F: (HEX)CAGATATGATAGATAGATAGG R: TGATCAGAACAATAGCAATA	53	249-303	11	0.88	0.90
°o2-33	DQ103267	(TG) <sub>19</sub> (CG) <sub>5</sub>	F: (6-FAM)CCATGACCTCCACATAGAGA R: CAAGTAGTCCTCATTCACTCC	47	179–181	2	0.15	0.45
202-40	AF380245	(AC) <sub>25</sub>	F: (HEX)AGGGTTGACCTCTAGCC R: TGGATGACTGAGTGGACCTAA	62	96-116	8	0.90	0.85
03-30	AF380246	(AG) <sub>16</sub>	F: (6-FAM)AATCGGCTGTCGCTGATCTA R: CGGGCACGGAGTACCTC	TD60	206-254	10	0.89	0.90
03-59	AF380247	(AC) <sub>21</sub>	F: (HEX)CAGGGCAGCCAAAGTTACA R: GGGCTGGAGGGAATTAGTGT	58	141–159	7	0.79	0.79
03-68	AF380248	(TG) <sub>28</sub>	F: (6-FAM)GTAGTCTGAGAAGCGAAAGG R: TTTATTTGGGTCAGCTCGAC	58	247-287	13	0.85	0.93
03-72	AF380249	(AC) <sub>11</sub>	R: (f-FAM)AAACCGGTGAGATGAGTGTG R: CTGAATTCTCCCGTTGTC	TD55	223-233	6	0.30†	0.71
					<b>Average</b> SD	<b>9</b> +/-5	<b>0.66</b> +/-0.24	<b>0.76</b> +/-0

The location of the CAG or M13R sequence tag is provided on the BW primer sequence, and for direct labelling of the PO primer, the dye is given. Annealing temperature ( $T_a$ ) represents the optimal annealing temperature; TD, touchdown PCR protocol followed by the initial annealing temperature. *A*, number of alleles;  $H_{O}$ , observed heterozygosity;  $H_{E'}$  expected heterozygosity.\*denotes three microsatellite markers that did not show variability in this population, although are known to be variable in other populations of *P. polionotus*, and were excluded from calculations of *A*,  $H_{O}$  and  $H_{E}$ . †, loci that deviate from Hardy–Weinberg expectations.

Species*	Study source	‡	Alleles†	H <sub>O</sub>	Reference
P. polionotus rhoadsi	Wild population	60	9	0.659	This study
P. polionotus ammobates	Wild population	5	3	0.660	Wooten et al. 1999
P. polionotus subgriseus	Peromyscus Genetic Stock Center	11	2	0.398	Prince et al. 2002
P. polionotus subgriseus	Outbred colony	3	5	0.521	Eklund & Ober 2000
P. maniculatus	Wild population	11	11	0.644	Chirhart et al. 2000
P. leucopus	Wild population	6	7	0.578	Schmidt 1999

Table 2 Comparison of microsatellite markers developed in Peromyscus

\*Species in which microsatellite variability was surveyed.

†Average number of alleles per marker.

‡Number of microsatellite markers.

by an American Society of Mammalogists Grant-in-Aid Award to LMM and grants from NSF (DEB-0344710 to HEH, DBI-0120348 to MJD), NIH (P40-RR14279 and RO1-R01GM069601 to MJD and TCG) and DOE (DE-FC09-96-SR18546 to the University of Georgia Research Foundation).

## References

- Boutin-Ganache I, Raposo M, Raymond M, Deschepper CF (2001) M13-tailed primers improve the readability and usability of microsatellite analyses performed with two different allelesizing methods. *BioTechniques*, **31**, 24–27.
- Bowen WW (1968) Variation and evolution of Gulf coast populations of beach mice, *Peromyscus polionotus*. *Bulletin of the Florida State Museum*, **12**, 1–91.
- Chirhart SE, Honeycutt RL, Greenbaum IF (2000) Microsatellite markers for the deer mouse *Peromyscus maniculatus*. *Molecular Ecology*, 9, 1669–1671.
- Chirhart SE, Honeycutt RL, Greenbaum IF (2005) Microsatellite variation and evolution in the *Peromyscus maniculatus* species group. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **34**, 408–415.
- Dewey MJ, Dawson WD (2001) Deer mice: 'the Drosophila of North American mammalogy'. Genesis, 29, 105–109.
- Dieringer D, Schlotterer C (2002) Microsatellite analyser (MSA): a platform independent analysis tool for large microsatellite data sets. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **3**, 167–169.
- Eklund AC, Ober C (2000) Polymorphic microsatellite markers within the MHC of *Peromyscus polionotus*. *Hereditas*, **133**, 179–181.
- Feder ME, Mitchell-Olds T (2003) Evolutionary and ecological functional genomics. *Nature Review Genetics*, **4**, 649–655.
- Hamilton MB, Pincus EL, Di Fiore A, Flesher RC (1999) Universal linker and ligation procedures for construction of genomic DNA libraries enriched for microsatellites. *BioTechniques*, 27, 500–507.

- King JA (1961) Biology of Peromyscus. American Society of Mammalogists, Stillwater, OK.
- Musser GG, Carleton MD (1993) *Peromyscus*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington.
- Oli MK, Holler NH, Wooten MC (2001) Viability analysis of Gulf Coast beach mice (*Peromyscus polionotus*) populations. *Biological Conservation*, 97, 107–118.
- Prince KL, Glenn TL, Dewey MJ (2002) Cross-species amplification among peromyscines of new microsatellite DNA loci from the oldfield mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus subgriseus*). *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 2, 133–136.
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity*, **86**, 248–249.
- Rice WR (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution*, **43**, 223–225.
- Schable NA, Fischer RU, Glenn TC (2002) Tetranucleotide microsatellite DNA loci from the dollar sunfish (*Lepomis marginatus*). *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 2, 509–511.
- Schmidt C (1999) Variation and congruence of microsatellite markers for *Peromyscus leucopus*. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 80, 522– 529.
- Schlotterer C (2002) Towards a molecular characterization of adaptation in local populations. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, **12**, 683–687.
- Sumner FB (1926) An analysis of geographic variation in mice of the *Peromyscus polionotus* group from Florida and Alabama. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 7, 149–184.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (1985) Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; determination of endangered status and critical habitat for three beach mice; final rule. *Federal Register*, **50**, 23872–23889.
- Wooten MC, Scribner KT, Krehling JT (1999) Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci from the endangered beach mouse, *Peromyscus polionotus*. *Molecular Ecology*, 8, 167–168.