## ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Revised: 6 June 2018



# Sibling rivalry: Males with more brothers develop larger testes

Heidi S. Fisher<sup>1,2</sup> | Kristin A. Hook<sup>1</sup> | W. David Weber<sup>1</sup> | Hopi E. Hoekstra<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland

<sup>2</sup>Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts

#### Correspondence

Heidi S. Fisher, Department of Biology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD. Email: hsfisher@umd.edu

#### **Funding information**

Howard Hughes Medical Institute; National Science Foundation, Award Number: 1711817; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Award Number: R00HD071972

## Abstract

When females mate with multiple partners in a reproductive cycle, the relative number of competing sperm from rival males is often the most critical factor in determining paternity. Gamete production is directly related to testis size in most species, and is associated with both mating behavior and perceived risk of competition. Deer mice, Peromyscus maniculatus, are naturally promiscuous and males invest significantly more in sperm production than males of *P. polionotus*, their monogamous sisterspecies. Here, we show that the larger testes in P. maniculatus are retained after decades of enforced monogamy in captivity. While these results suggest that differences in sperm production between species with divergent evolutionary histories can be maintained in captivity, we also show that the early rearing environment of males can strongly influence their testis size as adults. Using a second-generation hybrid population to increase variation within the population, we show that males reared in litters with more brothers develop larger testes as adults. Importantly, this difference in testis size is also associated with increased fertility. Together, our findings suggest that sperm production may be both broadly shaped by natural selection over evolutionary timescales and also finely tuned during early development.

#### KEYWORDS

deer mouse, offspring sex ratio, phenotypic plasticity, sexual selection, sperm competition

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

In systems in which females mate multiply, the competition among sperm from different males to fertilize the available ova can be intense and drive the evolution of male reproductive traits (Parker, 1970). Sperm competition theory predicts that males that can mate more frequently, produce larger, more competitive ejaculates and/or a greater number of sperm will achieve greater reproductive success (Parker & Pizzari, 2010). Sperm production, however, is energetically costly (Dewsbury, 1982) and can come at the expense of other important features including the onset of reproductive maturity, immune function, survival, and secondary sexual traits (e.g., Boonstra, McColl, & Karels, 2001; Simmons, Lupold, & Fitzpatrick, 2017; Tuni, Perdigón Ferreira, Fritz, Munoz Meneses, & Gasparini, 2016). Therefore, while increased investment in sperm production is advantageous in a competitive context, males can benefit from prudent reproductive allocation when competition is relaxed (e.g., Firman, Klemme, & Simmons, 2013; Pitnick, Jones, & Wilkinson, 2006; Ramm & Stockley, 2007, 2009). Populations that have evolved under divergent mating systems, and consequently vary in levels of sperm competition, provide insight into how social conditions affect an individual's reproductive investment and, ultimately, fitness (Firman & Simmons, 2011; Parker, 2002).

Peromyscus rodents exhibit striking variation in testicular, ejaculate and sperm traits across species (Linzey & Layne, 1969, 1974), which are associated with differences in mating strategy (Bedford & Hoekstra, 2015; Turner et al., 2010). This trend is perhaps best exemplified by two sister-species, the deer mouse (*P. maniculatus*) and the oldfield mouse (*P. polionotus*). In *P. maniculatus*, both sexes mate with multiple partners, often in overlapping series just minutes apart (Dewsbury, 1985), and females frequently carry multiple-paternity litters in the wild (Birdsall & Nash, 1973); by contrast, *P. polionotus* is strictly monogamous, as established from both behavioral

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb C}$  2018 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

VIL FY\_Ecology and Evolution

(Dewsbury, 1981) and genetic data (Foltz, 1981). Consistent with the well-documented relationship between relative testis size and level of sperm competition in rodents (Ramm, Parker, & Stockley, 2005), wild-caught *P. maniculatus* have testes roughly twice as large as those observed in *P. polionotus* males (Linzey & Layne, 1969). Testis size has been shown to increase with population density (Long & Montgomerie, 2006) and longer breeding seasons (Ribble & Millar, 1992) in *P. maniculatus*, but it is not known if species-specific differences are retained through multiple generations of enforced monogamy in captivity. Moreover, while there is evidence in other rodents that gamete production can be phenotypically plastic in response to sperm competition risk (Firman et al., 2013; Klemme, Soulsbury, & Henttonen, 2014; Ramm & Stockley, 2009), it remains unclear how early in development males can respond to social cues either by a directed response or by passive uptake of circulating hormones.

Here, we show that despite more than six decades of enforced monogamy, male *P. maniculatus* have retained the reproductive morphology that enables them to produce more sperm than their naturally monogamous congener, *P. polionotus*. Then, using a second-generation hybrid intercross of these two *Peromyscus* species to generate a greater range of reproductive phenotypes (Bendesky et al., 2017; Fisher, Jacobs-Palmer, Lassance, & Hoekstra, 2016) and to break up co-adapted gene complexes (West-Eberhard, 2003), we examine whether the social composition of the early developmental environment affects sperm production in adults and impacts reproductive potential.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Experimental animals

Peromyscus maniculatus bairdii and P. polionotus subgriseus were originally obtained from the Peromyscus Genetic Stock Center at the University of South Carolina and then maintained at Harvard University and the University of Maryland. Founders of the P. maniculatus colony were collected in 1946 and 1948, and P. polionotus in 1952 (Bedford & Hoekstra, 2015). Since establishment, the colonies have been outbred and maintained via monogamous pairings; nonbreeders housed with same-sex conspecifics (Foster, 1959). All mice in this study were maintained at 22°C and a 16:8 LD cycle to control for effects of photoperiod on testicular development and onset of puberty (Whitsett, Noden, Cherry, & Lawton, 1984).

#### 2.2 | Reproductive measures

We used sexually-mature *P. maniculatus* (N = 58) and *P. polionotus* (N = 35) males to examine reproductive morphology of the captive

stocks. After sacrifice via isoflurane overdose, we weighed the male, immediately removed and weighed each testis, and then photographed each testis on 1 cm grid paper. We estimated the twodimensional area from the images using ImageJ 1.x (Schindelin et al., 2015) and the "freehand" drawling tool. We calculated the mean testis mass and area for each male. To account for body size differences between males within these two species (Table 1), we included body mass as a covariate in our analyses, a method better suited to estimating relative testis size rather than using the ratio of testis to body mass or residuals (García Berthou, 2001; Lupold et al., 2009).

To examine the effects of early developmental environment on reproductive investment, we used individuals from a hybrid population originally produced for a genetic-mapping study (Fisher et al., 2016), which varied in litter composition. Importantly, a hybrid population generated from founders that differ significantly in a trait, as used in this study, generally will exhibit a larger distribution of phenotypic variation than either founder population, which can increase statistical power of the experiment (Lynch & Walsh, 1998). To produce the experimental animals, we intercrossed two P. polionotus males and two P. maniculatus females to produce 38 first-generation hybrids, and then mated siblings to generate 300 second-generation ( $F_2$ ) hybrid male progeny. To test for an effect of testis size on fertility, we weaned each of the F<sub>2</sub> hybrid males from their parents at 25 days of age and housed them with same-sex littermates until they were sexually mature and at least 60 days old. We then housed each male with a F<sub>2</sub> female chosen at random from the same grandparents as the male for at least 7 days. As solitary housing of social species, such as Peromyscus, can be a potent stressor (reviewed in Beery & Kaufer, 2015), all animals were housed consistently with littermates or a mate. Moreover, being paired with a female reduced male phenotypic variability that might arise from dominance hierarchies among male littermates and minimized differences in reproductive condition among males by exposing all of them to a female in estrus (Peromyscus estrous cycle is 5 days [Asdell, 1964]); these females were monitored for at least 30 days after being paired with a male to determine his ability to fertilize a female (i.e., whether he sired offspring or not); note that this is conservative estimate which does not account for variation in female fertility nor missed litters due to offspring mortality and cannibalism. Following methods above, we measured testis area of each F<sub>2</sub> male, which correlates tightly with testis mass (see Results) as a measure of testis size. We found no effect of male age (range: 71-183 days) or pairing duration (range: 7-108 days) on male fertility nor testis size (see Results).

#### 2.3 | Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.4.2 (R Core Team 2018). We used linear models (LM) to compare testis size

Species	Body mass (g) mean ± <i>SE</i>	Testis mass (mg) mean ± <i>SE</i>	Testis area (mm <sup>2</sup> ) mean ± SE
Peromyscus maniculatus	21.0 ± 0.32	123.2 ± 3.9	36.7 ± 1.1
Peromyscus polionotus	14.4 ± 0.40	70.1 ± 3.6	24.6 ± 0.9

**TABLE 1** Body and testis size of focal species

between the two focal species, and to determine whether pairing duration with a female or male age differed between F<sub>2</sub> sires and nonsires. To investigate the effects of social condition on testis size of males, we used a linear mixed model (LMM) using the lmer function from the "Ime4" R package (Bates, Mächler, Bolker, & Walker, 2014). The only random effect that contributed to variation in the response variable (i.e., mean testis area) was the grandparental line from which the hybrids were derived. This random factor was then used in bivariate analyses for predictors of interest, including number of male littermates, number of female littermates, proportion of males in litter, litter order, litter size, age, time paired with female, mate identification, and fertility. Predictors that had a  $p \le 0.20$  were considered for the final model and were screened for collinearity using a linear model. Variables that were collinear with other variables of greater relative significance were removed from the final model, which resulted in only two remaining predictors: the number of male littermates and fertility.

## 3 | RESULTS

We found that captive *P. maniculatus* males have significantly greater testis mass (LM:  $F_{2,90} = 61.28$ , p < 0.001; Table 1) and testis area (LM:  $F_{2,89} = 38.56$ , p < 0.001; Figure 1, Table 1) than *P. polionotus* males. Moreover, testis mass and area are directly correlated in both *P. maniculaus* (LM:  $F_{1,55} = 38.0$ , p < 0.001) and *P. polionotus* (LM:  $F_{1,33} = 7.76$ , p = 0.008).

We found a significant effect of the social composition during development on the adult testis size in *P. maniculatus* × *P. polionotus*  $F_2$  hybrid males. Males reared with more brothers have significantly larger testes than those reared with fewer brothers (LMM: *N* = 300, *p* < 0.001; Figure 2a, Table 2). Additionally, males that successfully produced offspring after being paired with a female have significantly larger testes than males that did not sire offspring, indicating a significant effect of testis size on fitness (LMM: *N* = 300, *p* = 0.024; Figure 2b, Table 2). We found no effect of male age on male fertility (LM: *N* = 85, *p* = 0.69) or on testis size (LMM: *N* = 300, *p* = 0.70). Similarly, we found no effect of pairing duration on fertility (LM: *N* = 85, *p* = 0.34) or testis area (LMM: *N* = 300, *p* = 0.47).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

Our results suggest that both evolutionary history and social cues during development can have an important effect on investment in sperm production in *Peromyscus* mice. First, we confirmed that males of the naturally promiscuous species, *P. maniculatus*, invest significantly more in sperm production compared to their monogamous sister-species, *P. polionotus*, despite decades of captive rearing under enforced monogamy. Although the relative difference in testis size is not as profound as observed in wild-caught males (Linzey & Layne, 1969), the trend remains and suggests there are interspecific genetic



**FIGURE 1** Frequency distribution of male mean testis size of the three study populations: *P. maniculatus* (N = 58), *P. polionotus* (N = 35), and F<sub>2</sub> hybrids (N = 300). The mean testis size of each population is demarcated by dotted lines. Note truncated *x*-axis

differences that modulate reproductive development. Second, we found that the social environment can also influence investment in sperm production. Males exposed to more brothers early in development have larger testes and are more likely to sire offspring as adults. Finally, our data show that testis size is significantly and positively associated with fertility.

One potential explanation for why we find that males with more brothers are likely to have larger testes is that there may be a maternal effect on both offspring "quality" and sex ratio. For example, females in greater condition may be able to produce more competitive sons and may therefore selectively reabsorb or cannibalize litters to bias the sex ratio in favor of males. The Trivers-Willard hypothesis (Trivers & Willard, 1973) predicts that in systems in which males have higher reproductive variance than females, selection should favor differential maternal investment in sons versus daughters depending on maternal condition and offspring reproductive potential. Peromyscus maniculatus and P. polionotus have a 25-28 day gestation period (Drickamer & Vestal, 1973; Myers & Master, 1983) that limits female reproductive potential; during this time, males have the opportunity to seek additional mating opportunities (Birdsall & Nash, 1973; although P. polionotus rarely do [Foltz, 1981]), which allows for greater reproductive variance in males than females, and meets one condition of the prediction. Our data, however, do not support the maternal resource allocation hypothesis because we found no association between testis size and maternal identity (N<sub>mothers</sub>=19; Figure 3a), and no effect of litter order on testis size (N<sub>litters</sub>=140, 7-21 litters/mother; Figure 3b). In other words, we did not find that some females (e.g., females in greater condition) consistently produced sons with large testes, nor that they produced them earlier or later in life. Absence of a maternal effect in a captive laboratory setting is perhaps not surprising given that resources are fixed and food is provided ad libitum. Further evidence that maternal effects are unlikely here is that large litters in a congener,



TABLE 2 Fixed effects from linear mixed model

	Testis area (mm²)		
	N = 300		
Model term	Beta ± SE	t	р
Intercept	26.97 ± 0.77		
Number of male littermates	1.49 ± 0.31	4.81	2.40e-06
Fertility	1.84 ± 0.81	2.27	0.024

*P. californicus*, tend to be male-biased, potentially because males are slightly smaller at birth and less costly to produce than females (Cantoni, Glaizot, & Brown, 2011), which is counter to the hypothesis that male quality and male-biased sex ratio may be both positively associated with maternal condition. Therefore, in our study, it is unlikely that the differences in testis size among males are due to maternal resource allocation and instead are due to differential investment in gamete production by the males themselves or passive exposure to increased testosterone *in utero*.

Our findings support the prediction that male testis size is phenotypically plastic and dependent on the early social environment. In placental mammals, the intrauterine position of embryos can influence the sexual development of individuals (Ryan & Vandenbergh, 2002). In rats (van der Hoeven, Lefevre, & Mankes, 1992) and gerbils (Clark, Bishop, Vom Saal, & Galef, 1993), male fetuses that develop between two brothers have larger testes at birth than males that are positioned between two sisters. The negative effects of intrauterine position on females associated with their reproductive potential (Ryan & Vandenbergh, 2002), suggest that, at least in part, the intrauterine effect of brothers on testis size is likely due to passive uptake of circulating hormones in utero. However, it is also possible that males can actively respond to social cues of potential future competitors, either in utero or during the postnatal period prior to weaning, by increasing their investment in gamete production when reared with more brothers. For example, in the moth, Plodia

**FIGURE 2** Mean testis size of  $F_2$  hybrids. (a) Males with more male littermates have significantly larger testes than those reared with fewer brothers. (b)  $F_2$  hybrid males that sired offspring after being paired with a female have larger testes than males that did not sire offspring. Box-plots represent median and interquartile ranges with raw data (male mean testis size) overlaid in purple. Sample sizes are provided below data. Note truncated *y*-axis

interpunctella, larval male investment in reproductive development is associated with eventual mating frequency and risk of sperm competition (Gage, 1995). In mammals, gamete production is more plastic in males compared to females, the latter of which are born with all the oocytes they will release during their lifetime. In male rodents, the first wave of spermatogenesis begins 4-7 days after birth (Russell, Ettlin, Hikim, & Clegg, 1990), and differences in sperm production in adults are associated with sperm competition risk (Firman et al., 2013; Klemme et al., 2014; Ramm & Stockley, 2009). Similar patterns in sperm production have been observed in Peromyscus: testis size is positively correlated with population density (Long & Montgomerie, 2006) and length of breeding season (Ribble & Millar, 1992). In this study, we found that testis size is not associated with the number of female siblings nor total litter size, suggesting that the critical component of population density that mediates investment in gamete production may be number of potential rivals. Similarly, in humans, where intrauterine effects are reduced, men raised with more brothers produce significantly faster sperm (Mossman, Slate, Birkhead, Moore, & Pacey, 2013). Juvenile dispersal is highly variable in Peromyscus, some populations are characterized by high rates of dispersal and others by philopatry (reviewed in Wolff, 1993), thus the social structure of the natal environment may be indicative of eventual competition risk in some populations. Taken together, our results suggest that social conditions during the early stages of development, either in utero or during the postpartum period, can have a critical influence on male reproductive potential.

In conclusion, we show that differential investment in sperm production may be both broadly shaped by selection over evolutionary timescales and also finely tuned by perceived competition risk during development. While our study does not allow us to directly test if greater testis size of males reared with more brothers is due to increased exposure to testosterone *in utero* or an active response to perceived competitors, the reproductive outcome is the same: males with more brothers develop larger testes, which is associated with greater sperm production and ultimately, fitness.





## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

We are grateful to Emily Jacobs-Palmer for her useful feedback on this study, and to Mollie Manier and Irene Liu, who provide helpful comments on this manuscript. This work is funding by a NICHD K99/R00 Pathway to Independence Award to HSF (R00HD071972), and a NSF Postdoctoral Research Fellowship to KAH (1711817). HEH is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

## by draft the manuscript and gave final approval for publication. SF

# DATA ACCESSIBILITY

Data are uploaded to Dryad.

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors report no competing interests.

# ORCID

Heidi S. Fisher D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5622-4335

HSF and HEH designed the study. HSF, KAH, and WDW collected

data. KAH carried out the statistical analyses. All authors helped

Kristin A. Hook D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5864-0316 Hopi E. Hoekstra D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1431-1769

#### REFERENCES

- Asdell, S. A. (1964). Patterns of Mammalian Reproduction. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Bates, D., M\u00e4chler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. 2014. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using Ime4. https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.5823
- Bedford, N. L., & Hoekstra, H. E. (2015). The natural history of model organisms: *Peromyscus* mice as a model for studying natural variation. *eLife Sciences*, 4, e06813.
- Beery, A. K., & Kaufer, K. (2015). Stress, social behavior, and resilience: Insights from rodents. *Neurobiology of Stress*, 1, 116–127. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2014.10.004
- Bendesky, A., Kwon, Y.-M., Lassance, J.-M., Lewarch, C. L., Yao, S., Peterson, B. K., Hoekstra, H. E. (2017). The genetic basis of parental care evolution in monogamous mice. *Nature*, 544, 434–439. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature22074
- Birdsall, D. A., & Nash, D. (1973). Occurrence of successful multiple insemination of females in natural populations of deer mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus*). Evolution, 27, 106–110. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1973.tb05922.x
- Boonstra, R., McColl, C. J., & Karels, T. J. (2001). Reproduction at all costs: the adaptive stress response of male arctic ground squirrels. *Ecology*, 82, 1930–1946.
- Cantoni, D., Glaizot, O., & Brown, R. E. (2011). Effects of sex composition of the litter on anogenital distance in California mice (*Peromyscus californicus*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 77, 124–131.
- Clark, M. M., Bishop, A. M., Vom Saal, F. S., & Galef, B. G. Jr (1993). Responsiveness to testosterone of male gerbils from known intrauterine positions. *Physiology and Behavior*, 53, 1183–1187. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(93)90377-R
- Dewsbury, D. A. (1981). An exercise in the prediction of monogamy in the field from laboratory data on 42 species of muroid rodents. *Biologist*, 63, 665–675.
- Dewsbury, D. A. (1982). Ejaculate cost and male choice. *The American Naturalist*, 119, 601–610. https://doi.org/10.1086/283938
- Dewsbury, D. A. (1985). Interactions between males and their sperm during multi-male copulatory episodes of deer mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus*). Animal Behaviour, 33, 1266–1274. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0003-3472(85)80186-X
- Drickamer, L. C., & Vestal, B. M. (1973). Patterns of reproduction in a laboratory colony of *Peromyscus*. Journal of Mammalogy, 54, 523–528. https://doi.org/10.2307/1379147
- Firman, R. C., Klemme, I., & Simmons, L. W. (2013). Strategic adjustments in sperm production within and between two island populations of house mice. *Evolution*, 67, 3061–3070.
- Firman, R. C., & Simmons, L. W. (2011). Experimental evolution of sperm competitiveness in a mammal. BMC Evolutionary Biology, 11, 19. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-19
- Fisher, H. S., Jacobs-Palmer, E., Lassance, J.-M., & Hoekstra, H. E. (2016). The genetic basis and fitness consequences of sperm midpiece size in deer mice. *Nature Communications*, 7, 13652. https://doi. org/10.1038/ncomms13652
- Foltz, D. W. (1981). Genetic evidence for long-term monogamy in a small rodent, *Peromyscus polionotus*. *The American Naturalist*, 117, 665– 675. https://doi.org/10.1086/283751
- Foster, D. D. (1959). Differences in behavior and temperament between two races of the deer mouse. Journal of Mammalogy, 40, 496–513. https://doi.org/10.2307/1376266

- Gage, M. J. G. (1995). Continuous variation in reproductive strategy as an adaptive response to population density in the moth *Plodia interpunctella*. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 261, 25–30. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1995.0112
- García Berthou, E. (2001). On the misuse of residuals in ecology: Testing regression residuals vs. the analysis of covariance. Journal of Animal Ecology, 70, 708–711. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2656.2001.00524.x
- van der Hoeven, T., Lefevre, R., & Mankes, R. (1992). Effects of intrauterine position on the hepatic microsomal polysubstrate monooxygenase and cytosolic glutathione S-transferase activity, plasma sex steroids and relative organ weights in adult male and female Long-Evans rats. Journal of Pharmacology Experimental Therapeutics, 263, 32–39.
- Klemme, I., Soulsbury, C. D., & Henttonen, H. (2014). Contrasting effects of large density changes on relative testes size in fluctuating populations of sympatric vole species. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 281, 20141291. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.2014.1291
- Linzey, A. V., & Layne, J. N. (1969). Comparative morphology of the male reproductive tract in the rodent genus *Peromyscus* (Muridae). *American Museum Novitates*, 2355, 1–47.
- Linzey, A. V., & Layne, J. M. (1974). Comparative morphology of spermatozoa of the rodent genus *Peromyscus* (Muridae). *American Museum Novitates*, 2532, 1–20.
- Long, T. A. F., & Montgomerie, R. (2006). Ejaculate investment in a promiscuous rodent. Evolutionary Ecology Research, 8, 345–356.
- Lupold, S., Linz, G. M., Rivers, J. W., Westneat, D. F., & Birkhead, T. R. (2009). Sperm competition selects beyond relative testes size in birds. *Evolution*, 63, 391–402. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00571.x
- Lynch, M., & Walsh, B. (1998). Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Mossman, J. A., Slate, J., Birkhead, T. R., Moore, H. D., & Pacey, A. A. (2013). Sperm speed is associated with sex bias of siblings in a human population. Asian Journal of Andrology, 15, 152–154. https://doi. org/10.1038/aja.2012.109
- Myers, P., & Master, L. L. (1983). Reproduction by Peromyscus maniculatus: Size and compromise. Journal of Mammalogy, 64, 1–18. https:// doi.org/10.2307/1380746
- Parker, G. A. (1970). Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects. *Biological Reviews*, 45, 525–567. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.1970.tb01176.x
- Parker, G. A. (2002). Sperm competition games between related males. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 267, 1027–1032.
- Parker, G. A., & Pizzari, T. (2010). Sperm competition and ejaculate economics. *Biological Reviews*, 85, 897–934.
- Pitnick, S., Jones, K. E., & Wilkinson, G. S. (2006). Mating system and brain size in bats. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 273, 719–724. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2005.3367
- R Core Team. (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. http://www.R-project.org/
- Ramm, S. A., Parker, G. A., & Stockley, P. (2005). Sperm competition and the evolution of male reproductive anatomy in rodents. *Proceedings* of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 272, 949–955. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.2004.3048
- Ramm, S. A., & Stockley, P. (2007). Ejaculate allocation under varying sperm competition risk in the house mouse, *Mus musculus domesticus. Behavioral Ecology*, 18, 491–495. https://doi.org/10.1093/ beheco/arm003
- Ramm, S. A., & Stockley, P. (2009). Adaptive plasticity of mammalian sperm production in response to social experience. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 276, 745–751. https:// doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.1296

Ecology and Evolution

- Ribble, D. O., & Millar, J. S. (1992). Intraspecific variation in testes size among Northern populations of *Peromyscus. Functional Ecology*, *6*, 455–459. https://doi.org/10.2307/2389283
- Russell, L. D., Ettlin, R. A., Hikim, A. P. S., & Clegg, E. D. (1990). Histological and Histopathological Evaluation of the Testis. Clearwater, FL: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Ryan, B. C., & Vandenbergh, J. G. (2002). Intrauterine position effects. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 26, 665–678. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0149-7634(02)00038-6
- Schindelin, J., Rueden, C. T., Hiner, M. C., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2015). The ImageJ ecosystem: An open platform for biomedical image analysis. *Molecular Reproduction and Development*, 82, 518–529. https://doi. org/10.1002/mrd.22489
- Simmons, L. W., Lupold, S., & Fitzpatrick, J. L. (2017). Evolutionary trade-off between secondary sexual traits and ejaculates. *Trends* in Ecology and Evolution, 32, 964–976. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tree.2017.09.011
- Trivers, R. L., & Willard, D. E. (1973). Natural selection of parental ability to vary the sex ratio of offspring. *Science*, 179, 90–92. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.179.4068.90
- Tuni, C., Perdigón Ferreira, J., Fritz, Y., Munoz Meneses, A., & Gasparini, C. (2016). Impaired sperm quality, delayed mating but no costs for offspring fitness in crickets winning a fight. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 29, 1643–1647. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12888

- Turner, L. M., Young, A. R., Römpler, H., Schöneberg, T., Phelps, S. M., & Hoekstra, H. E. (2010). Monogamy evolves through multiple mechanisms: Evidence from V1aR in deer mice. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 27, 1269–1278. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msq013
- West-Eberhard, M. J. (2003). Developmental plasticity and evolution. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Whitsett, J. M., Noden, P. F., Cherry, J., & Lawton, A. D. (1984). Effect of transitional photoperiods on testicular development and puberty in male deer mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus*). Journal of Reproduction and Fertility, 72, 277–286. https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.0720277
- Wolff, J. O. (1993). What is the role of adults in mammalian juvenile dispersal? Oikos, 68, 173–176. https://doi.org/10.2307/3545324

How to cite this article: Fisher HS, Hook KA, Weber WD, Hoekstra HE. Sibling rivalry: Males with more brothers develop larger testes. *Ecol Evol*. 2018;8:8197–8203. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1002/ece3.4337